



August 2, 2016

Debra Spring Matrix Head Start 2051 Rosa Parks Boulevard Detroit, Michigan 48216

SUBMITTED VIA EMAIL TO: dspring@matrix.org

SUBJECT: Drinking Water Screening Report

WC3D

1001 Fort Street

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Dear Ms. Spring:

ATC Group Services, LLC (ATC) is pleased to submit this Drinking Water Screening Report for the subject school. The drinking water samples collected from the school were submitted to TriMatrix Laboratories, for Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) Drinking Water Certified lead analysis.

SCOPE OF WORK

At the request of the Matrix Head Start (Matrix), ATC collected drinking water samples as a general screening for lead at the subject school. Matrix in coordination with the City of Detroit Health Department determined that the screening would consist of collection of water samples from three (3) high priority water outlets (drinking fountains, kitchen/food preparation area faucets, etc.), regularly used by students and staff for drinking, as designated by Matrix personnel. Two (2) samples were collected at each outlet: a first draw (Primary) sample; and a Flush sample. The Primary samples were collected from outlets that had been inactive for a minimum of eight hours. The Flush samples were collected after the water was allowed to run for a minimum of thirty (30) seconds at each of the sample locations.

The drinking water samples were collected in 125 milliliter, wide-mouth sample containers, containing nitric acid (preservative). Each sample container was labeled utilizing a coding system that identified: the type of drinking outlet sampled, Drinking Water Fountain (DWF), Drinking Water Cooler (DWC), Kitchen Faucet (KF) etc.; and a (P) for primary samples and a (F) for flush samples.





The samples were transported under chain of custody to TriMatrix Laboratories, located at 5560 Corporate Exchange Court SE, Grand Rapids Michigan for MDEQ drinking water certified lead analysis, using analytical method EPA 200.8 rev 5.4.

As per the EPA's 3T's for Reducing Lead in Drinking Water in Schools, Revised Technical Guidance (October 2006) analysis of the flush sample(s) was only performed if analysis of the first draw (Primary) sample(s) indicated lead and/or copper concentrations greater than the EPA established Maximum Contaminate Level (MCL).

FINDINGS

Analytical results indicate that none of the samples analyzed were above the EPA recommended limits of 0.015 milligrams per liter (mg/L) for lead. The table below summarizes the analytical results for the samples submitted. The laboratory analytical reports and chain of custody are provided in Attachment A.

Sample Number	Total Lead (Drinking Water)	MCL
1-P-F (Classroom #1)	0.0016 mg/L	0.015 mg/L
1-F-F (Classroom #1)	NA	0.015 mg/L
2-P-F (Classroom #2)	0.0011 mg/L	0.015 mg/L
2-F-F (Classroom #2)	NA	0.015 mg/L
3-P-F (Kitchen)	0.0031 mg/L	0.015 mg/L
3-F-F (Kitchen)	NA	0.015 mg/L

Key: NA - Not Analyzed

mg/L- milligrams per liter /parts per million (ppm)



46555 Humboldt Drive Novi, Michigan 48377 Telephone 248-669-5140 www.atcgroupservices.com

LIMITATIONS

The sampling and analysis completed was: a preliminary screening for lead only, to assess lead concentrations (mg/L) at drinking water outlets in the school designated as high use by Matrix, and may not be representative of all drinking water outlets within the school. If lead concentrations are identified above their respective MCL's at any of the drinking water outlets tested, further review of the plumping system, fixtures affected, and testing should be completed to assess the source of the elevated levels of lead, as well as, any other response actions deemed necessary by Matrix.

The drinking water screening proposed and conducted by ATC was devised in cooperation with Matrix, City of Detroit Health Department and utilizing the EPA's 3Ts for Reducing Lead in Drinking Water in Schools and may not meet all of the recommendations provided by the MDEQ "Guidance on Drinking Water Sampling for Lead and Copper at Schools and Daycares on Community Water Supplies" Version 2.0 - April 13, 2016. Future drinking water evaluation and sampling in accordance with the recommendations may be predicated on applicable guidelines by the MDEQ or EPA and will be determined prior to developing a sampling plan for the school.

Sincerely,

ATC Group Services, LLC

Marte & Somble

Martin Gamble

Senior Project Manager

Robert C. Smith

Building Science Department Manager

Robert C. Kiniz

APPENDIX A LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT



June 17, 2016

ATC Group Services Attn: Mr. Robert Smith 46555 Humboldt, Suite 100 Novi, MI 48377

Project: School Drinking Water Testing

Dear Mr. Robert Smith,

Enclosed is a copy of the laboratory report for the following work order(s) received by TriMatrix Laboratories:

Work Order	Received	Description
1606099	06/03/2016	WC3D

This report relates only to the sample(s) as received. Test results are in compliance with the requirements of the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) and/or one of the following certification programs:

ANAB DoD-ELAP/ISO17025 (#ADE-1542); Arkansas DEP (#88-0730/13-049-0); Florida DEP (#E87622-24); Georgia EPD (#E87622-24); Illinois DEP (#200026/003329); Kentucky DEP (AL123065/#0021); Michigan DPH (#0034); Minnesota DPH (#491715); New York ELAP (#11776/53116); North Carolina DNRE (#659); Virginia DCLS (#460153/7952); Wisconsin DNR (#999472650); USDA Soil Import Permit (#P330-14-00305).

Any qualification or narration of results, including sample acceptance requirements and test exceptions to the above referenced programs, is presented in the Statement of Data Qualifications and Project Technical Narrative sections of this report. Estimates of analytical uncertainties and certification documents for the test results contained within this report are available upon request.

If you have any questions or require further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gary L. Wood **Project Chemist**

Page 1 of 11



PROJECT TECHNICAL NARRATIVE(s)

No Project Narrative is associated with this report.

Page 2 of 11



STATEMENT OF DATA QUALIFICATIONS

All analyses have been validated and comply with our Quality Control Program. No Qualification is required.



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client: ATC Group Services Work Order: 1606099

Project: School Drinking Water Testing Description: WC3D

 Client Sample ID:
 1-P-F Classroom #1
 Sampled:
 06/02/16 07:08

 Lab Sample ID:
 1606099-01
 Sampled By:
 David Reinhold

 Matrix:
 Drinking Water
 Received:
 06/03/16 16:05

Metals in Drinking Water by EPA 200 Series Methods

Analyte	Analytical Result	RL	Action Limit	Unit	Dilution Factor	Method	Date Time Analyzed	Ву	QC Batch
Lead	0.0016	0.0010	0.015	mg/L	1	USEPA-200.8 Rev. 5.4	06/15/16 15:29	MSB	1606138



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client:ATC Group ServicesWork Order:1606099Project:School Drinking Water TestingDescription:WC3D

Client Sample ID: 2-P-F Classroom #2 Sampled: 06/02/16 07:11

Lab Sample ID: **1606099-03** Sampled By: David Reinhold Matrix: Drinking Water Received: 06/03/16 16:05

Metals in Drinking Water by EPA 200 Series Methods

Analyte	Analytical Result	RL	Action Limit	Unit	Dilution Factor	Method	Date Time Analyzed	Ву	QC Batch
Lead	0.0011	0.0010	0.015	mg/L	1	USEPA-200.8 Rev. 5.4	06/15/16 15:33	MSB	1606138



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client: ATC Group Services Work Order: 1606099

Project: School Drinking Water Testing Description: WC3D

Client Sample ID: **3-P-F Kitchen** Sampled: 06/02/16 07:14 Lab Sample ID: **1606099-05** Sampled By: David Reinhold Matrix: Drinking Water Received: 06/03/16 16:05

Metals in Drinking Water by EPA 200 Series Methods

Analyte	Analytical Result	RL	Action Limit	Unit	Dilution Factor	Method	Date Time Analyzed	Ву	QC Batch
Lead	0.0031	0.0010	0.015	mg/L	1	USEPA-200.8 Rev. 5.4	06/15/16 15:34	MSB	1606138



QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

Metals in Drinking Water by EPA 200 Series Methods

	Sample	Spike			Spike	Control		RPD	
QC Type	Conc.	Qty.	Result	Unit	% Rec.	Limits	RPD	Limits	RL

Analyte: Lead/USEPA-200.8 Rev. 5.4

QC Batch: 1606138 (Metals Direct Analysis)					Analyzed: 06/15/2016	By: MSB
Method Blank		<0.0010	mg/L			0.0010
Laboratory Control Sample	0.0400	0.0403	mg/L	101	85-115	0.0010



PRETREATMENT SUMMARY PAGE

Client: ATC Group Services

Project: School Drinking Water Testing

				Date & Time	
Pretreatment	Lab Sample ID	Batch	Ву	Prepared	
USEPA 600/R-94/173	1606099-01	1606138	PNS	06/14/16 13:46	
	1606099-03	1606138	PNS	06/14/16 13:46	
	1606099-05	1606138	PNS	06/14/16 13:46	



♣ TRIN	RIMATRIX	Ch	Chain of Custod	ustody R	y Record	COC No. 18	151019155
For Lab Use Only Cart 2	5560 Corporate Exchange Court SE, Grand Rapids, MI 49512 Phone (616) 975-4500 Fax (616) 942-7463 www.trimatrixlabs.c	t SE, Grand Rap 142-7463 www.	d Rapids, MI 49512 www.trimatrixlabs.com		Analyses Requested		Pg. / of /
VOA Rack/Tray Receipt Log No. 2	Client Name T	Project Name	o. IP.O.No.	Proming Flush		- 1	A NONE pH-7 (B) HNO ₃ pH<2 C H ₂ SO ₄ pH<2
	City, State Zip Nov. MJ 1987	Invoice To	☐ Other (comments)	- 1			D 1+1 HCI pH<2 E NaOH pH>12 F ZnacMaOH pH>9
Work Order No.	Email 245 667 5140 Fax 5140	Contact/Report To	Sm.72	Container	Container Type (corresponds to Container Packing List)	tainer Packing List)	G MeOH H other (note below)
Schedule Matrix Sample Code Number	Field Sample ID	Cooler ID Sample Date	Sample of Time M	A Matrix	Number of Containers Submitted		Total Sample Comments
0 0	· 1-P-F class 100 = #1	8-2-8	7:08	XMAX			
2 8	2 1-F-F Class Rum H		7.9	× ×			
0) 8	2-P-F Classroom #2		7:11	人			
R R	12-F-F Class non #2		7:12	X			
99	5 3- P-F Lething		7.74	*			
B a	*3-6-4 Kithen	<u></u>	7:13	X			Ce II
	7						
	60						
	49					_	
	10			-			
	REJUDIO NO HOW Shipped? Hand	Carrier	Comments / Lo	lead (Perrory)	Is about Detection	ection Cimi	Cimite analyses
Ture	Tracking No.			Flush So	ample -))
Company	1. Relinquished by Digits 1. Benedict Bu	16 B35	2. Polyquished By	turn 6/3/	20	X	Date Time
-	1/2 phone	3/14 1375	9	-	The second of the second of	1	63.16 Mass

ORIGINAL - LABORATORY

COPY - SAMPLER

SAMPLE RECEIVING / LOG-IN CHECKLIST

	Client	Work O	rder# \\ \www.
TRIMATRI	X	New / Add To Project Chemist Sample	* ICCUMY
LABORATORI	E S Receipt Record PagerLine # 12-3	5	
Recorded by (initials/date)	Cooler Cty Receive	d . IR Gun (#202)	See Additional Gooler
10	Box 2	Thermometer Used Digital Thermome	ter (#54) Information Form
UC 6.3.16	D Other	Other (#	
Cogler# COL F Time	Cooler 2572 Time 700	Cooler # Time	Cooler # Time
MUZOUN 1000	111001011	2000	0 0
Custody Setils:	Custody Seals:	Custody Seals:	Custody Seals: None
None Present / Intact	□ None □ Present / Intact	Present / Intact	Present / Intact
Present / Not Intact	Present / Not Intact	Present / Not Intact	☐ Present / Not Intact
Coolant Type:	Coolant Type:	Coolant Type:	Coolant Type:
☐ Loose ice	□ Loose Ice	☐ Loose Ice	☐ Loose Ice
☐ Bagged Ice	☐ Bagged Ice	☐ Bagged Ice	Bagged Ice
☐ Stue Ice	☐ Blue Ice	Blue Ice	☐ Blue Ice ☐ None
None	□ None	Coolant Location:	Coolant Location:
Codant Location:	Coolant Location: Dispersed / Top / Middle / Bottom	Dispersed / Top / Middle / Bottom	Dispersed / Top / Middle / Botton
Dispersed / Top / Middle / Bottom	Dispersed / Top / Middle / Bottom Temp Blank Present: Yes No	Temp Blank Present: Yes No	Temp Blank Present: ☐ Yes ☐ No
Temp Blank Present: Yes No If Present, Temperature Blank location is:	If Present, Temperature Blank Location is:	If Present, Temperature Blank Location is:	If Present, Temperature Blank Location is
☐ Representative ☐ Not Representative	☐ Representative ☐ Not Representative	☐ Representative ☐ Not Representative	Representative Not Representative
Observed Correction	Council Competes	Observed Correction And all Co	Observed Correction Actual *C
*C Factor *C Actual *C	*C Factor *C Actual *C	*C Factor *C Actual *C	*C Factor *C Actual C
Temp Blank:	Temp Black	Temp Blank:	Temp Blank:
	000		Sample 1
Sample 1 23.8, - 23.8	Sample 1: 22.2 - 22.2	Sample 1:	Gampie II
Sample 2: 23.8 - 238	Sample 2 22.0 - 22.0	Sample 2	Sample 2:
Sample 3: 739 - 739	Sample 3: 722 - 222	Sample 3;	Sample 3:
to.	144		
3 Sample Average °C: 23.8	3 Sample Average *C: 22.2	3 Sample Average °C:	3 Sample Average °C:
Cooler ID on COC?	Cooler ID on COC?	Cooler ID on COC?	Cooler ID on COC?
☐ VOC Trip Blank received?	☐ VOC Trip Blank received?	□ VOC Trip Blank received?	☐ VOC Trip Blank received?
Wannahadad a	sees shocked semplete Cample B	teceiving Non-Conformance and/or	Inventory Form
	areas checked, complete dample i		
Paperwork Received	Water Ballery	Check Sample Preservation	
Yes No		N/A Yes No	k OR average sample temperature, ≥6° C7
Chain of Custody record(s)		/ Amount	was thermal preservation required?
Received for Lab Signed/Da	ate/Time?	// same	t Chemist Approval Initials:
Shipping document?			eted Non Con Cooler - Cont Inventory Form
COC Intermetion			e Preservation Verification Form?
COC Information TriMatrix COC Other		/ /	lly preserved correctly?
COC ID Numbers:		If "No", added ora	
COC ID Numbers.		Received pre-pre	
		☐ MeOH	□ Na ₂ SO ₄
Check COC for Accuracy		Check for Short Hold-Time Prep/A	nalvses
Yes / No		☐ Bacteriological	A STATE OF THE STA
Analysis Requested?		☐ Air Bags	AFTER HOURS ONLY:
Sample ID matches COC?		☐ EnCores / Methanol Pre-Preserved	COMES OF COC TO LAB AREA(S)
Sample Date and Time mat	ches COC?	☐ Formaldehyde/Aidehyde	NONE RECEIVED
Container type completed o		☐ Green-tagged containers	RECEIVED, COCs TO LAB(S)
All container types indicated	ENGLISH AND ADDRESS OF THE STATE OF THE STAT	☐ Yellow/White-tagged 1 L ambers (SV P)	rep-Lab)
Sample Condition Summary		Notes	
N/A Yes No/			
Broken container	rs/lids?		
Missing or incom	plete labels?		
Illegible informati	on on labels?	TANKE BEST OF THE STATE OF THE	
O Low volume rece	CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O		ank not listed on COC
	non-TriMatrix containers received?	Cooler Received (Date/Time) Paperwork	Delivered (Date/Time) ≤1 Hour Goal Met?
	containers have headspace?	11.2 110 105 10.2	110 1730 Yes (No)
Extra sample loc	ations / containers not listed on COC?	00-10 1000 00	I I I V

SAMPLE PRESERVATION VERIFICATION FORM

Client PTO		Work Order # \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
Receipt Log # 12-35	Completed By (joil)als/date)). 3- 10 Project Chemist	
151019155	Adjusted by:Date:	DO NOT ADJUST pH FOR THESE CONTAINER TYPES	pH Strip Reagent # 6040263

1510	1915	5	Adjusted by: Date:	DO NOT AL	JUST pH FOR THES	E CONTAINER TYPES
Container Type	5/23	4	13	6	15	
Tag Color	Lt. Blue	Blue	Brown	Red	Red Stripe	
Preservative	NaOH	H ₂ SO ₄	H ₂ SO ₄	HNO ₃	HNO ₃	
Expected pH	>12	<2	<2	<2/	<2	
COC Line #1		3	1 30 5 8	1/		
COC Line #2	NE-			1/	190	
COC Line #3			197 D - 198	1,		
COC Line #4				1		
COC Line #5	26.16			11	LINESS STATE	
COC Line #6	No. IV					
COC Line #7				THE A		the Land
COC Line #8		10				
COC Line #9						
COC Line #10		100		TEN I I		THE WAY

Aqueous Samples: For each sample and container type, check the box if pH is acceptable. If pH is not acceptable for any sample container, record pH in box, and note on Sample Receiving Checklist and on Sample Receiving Non-Conformance Form. If approved by Project Chemist, add acid or base to the sample to achieve the correct pH. Add up to, but do not exceed 2x the volume initially added at container prep (see table below for initial volumes used). Add orange pH tag to sample container and record

information requested. Record adjusted pH on this form. Do not adjust pH for container types 6 and 15.

COC ID #			Adjusted by:Date:		DO NOT ADJUST PH FOR THESE CONTAINER TYPES			
Container Type	5 / 23	4	13	Silly	6	15		
Tag Color	Lt Blue	Blue	Brown		Red	Red Stripe		
Preservative	NaOH	H ₂ SO ₄	H ₂ SO ₄		HNO ₃	HNO ₃		
Expected pH	>12	<2	<2	6.2	<2	<2		
COC Line #1						× 1		
COC Line #2	V. To			Market 1			None III	
COC Line #3	EUIL.							77.00
COC Line #4		Policy				The T		
COC Line #5				8-019				
COC Line #6		Tree.				TSV		9-12
COC Line #7								
COC Line #8		N. L.						
COC Line #9		1	0-003		The same state of		7760	
COC Line #10	4							

Container Size (mL)	Original Vol. of Preservative (mL)			
Container Type 5	NaOH			
500	2.5			
1000	5.0			
Container Type 4	H ₂ SO ₄			
125	0.5			
250	1.0			
500	2.0			
1000	4.0			
Container Type 13	H₂SO₄			
500	2.5			